

June 3, 2023

Dear Mr. Christopher Clement,

Thank you for your reply. We are surprised that our abstract submitted to the live session was rejected. We also appreciate your kind guidance in submitting to a recorded/ e-poster session that opened last week. According to our member's experience who have participated in the past ICRP events, including Recovery 2020, Future of RP WS, and ICRP 2021+1, submissions to the general session are rarely rejected. Thus, we have planned to submit our research individually if our joint research proposal is rejected.

Looking back at the ICRP symposium (2011 USA, 2013 UAE, 2015 France, 2017 Korea, 2019 Australia, 2021 + 1 Canada), presentations on Fukushima-related topics were made by ICRP members; Dr. Kai, Dr. Sakai, Dr. Honma, Dr. Ban, and professors of the Fukushima Medical University and the University of Tokyo. Ms. Ryoko Ando, a resident of Iwaki City and the organizer of Ethos in Fukushima, was the only citizen who presented at the ICRP symposium. As we described in the abstract, the lack of diverse stakeholder participation, including self-evacuated and long-term evacuated residents, is the most serious problem in radiological protection in Fukushima. Since ICRP 103, the ICRP has stressed the importance of stakeholder involvement in decision-making on radiation protection measures, and it should be implemented in ICRP 2023 Tokyo and future revisions of the General Recommendation.

As ICRP rejects our presentation proposal in the live session, we would like to know the names of the speakers at the "How experience of the Fukushima Daiichi accident is improving RP"? session. Are they ICRP members, university professors, or participants in the ICRP Fukushima Dialogue introduced in ICRP 146? If so, such a biased selection would neither capture the essential problems in Fukushima nor ensure people's trust in the ICRP and its recommendations. As we wrote in the first letter, we are ready to nominate more diverse and critical speakers.

We also appreciate for consideration of a registration fee reduction. According to the registration page (<https://icrp2023.jp/registration/>), students can participate remotely for 15,000 yen but cannot join the online Q&A session. We know that videos and posters of past ICRP symposiums are released free of charge after the symposium. For the logistical arrangement, we expect ICRP 2023 Tokyo and future ones should follow a format like "Recovery After Nuclear Accidents 2020", which provided interpreters and online Q&As with free registration. As for ICRP 2023, we recognize that the Japanese regulatory agency (NRA) will provide financial support. We also request reconsidering logistical arrangements that enable the participation of stakeholders.